017.219195.

P.003/010

T-989

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

(hereinafter referred to as "Liberty Glove" or "Defendant") The Complaint alleges, among other things, that Defendant violated provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5, et seq. (Proposition 65), and Business and Professions Code Sections 17200 et seq. (the "Unfair Competition Act"), by knowingly and intentionally exposing persons to products containing lead and/or lead compounds, which are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm, without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals. The Complaint was based upon a 60-Day Notice letter, dated October 2, 2002, sent by MEJF to Liberty Glove, the California Attorney General, all District Attorneys, and all City Attorneys with populations exceeding 750,000.

Defendant is a business that employs more than ten persons and 1.1 manufactures, distributes and/or markets within the State of California clothing made from lead-containing polyvinyl chloride "PVC" materials. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.9, lead and lead compounds are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity. Products containing lead and/or lead compounds that are sold or distributed in the State of California are, under specified circumstances, subject to the Proposition 65 warning requirement set forth in Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6. Plaintiff MEJF alleges that clothing made from leadcontaining PVC materials (hereinafter "PVC clothing"), that is manufactured, distributed, sold and/or marketed by Defendant for use in California, requires a warning under Proposition 65. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Covered Products" shall be defined as PVC clothing that is: 1) distributed and sold within the State of California, and 2) Manufactured by Liberty Glove or any other entity acting on its behalf, and distributed, marketed and/or sold by Liberty Glove or by any other entity that distributes, markets or sells Liberty Glove brand PVC clothing, or manufactured by any other entity for Liberty Glove, whether or not the clothing bears Liberty Glove labels.

6<sup>.</sup> 

1 /

- 1.2 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant Liberty Glove as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related to.
- 1.3 This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. This Consent Judgment shall not constitute an admission with respect to any material allegation of the Complaint, each and every allegation of which Settling Defendant Liberty Glove denies, nor may this Consent Judgment or compliance with it be used as evidence of any wrongdoing, misconduct, culpability or liability on the part of Defendants.

#### 2. <u>SETTLEMENT PAYMENT</u>

2.0 In settlement of all of the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment against the Settling Defendant, within 30 days of the entry of this Consent Judgment, Liberty Glove shall pay \$16,000 to the Klamath Environmental Law Center ("KELC") to cover plaintiffs' attorneys' fees Additionally, within 30 days of the entry of this Consent Judgment, Liberty Glove shall pay \$16,500 to Center for Environmental Health for use toward reducing exposures to toxic chemicals and other pollutants, and toward increasing consumer, worker and community awareness of health hazards posed by lead and other toxic chemicals. The parties agree and acknowledge that the charitable contributions made pursuant to this section shall not be construed as a credit against the personal claims of absent third parties for restitution against the defendant.

2.1 Defendant Liberty Glove shall not be required to pay a civil penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.7(b).

3

## ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5

4

3.0 The parties hereby request that the Court promptly enter this Consent Judgment. Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, Liberty Glove and Plaintiff MEJF waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint.

7

# 4. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

8

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

2122

23

2425

26

27 28

4.0 This Consent Judgment is a final and binding resolution between the Plaintiff MEJF, acting on behalf of itself and (as to those matters raised in the 60-Day Notice Letter) the general public, and Liberty Glove, of: (i) any violation of Proposition 65 or the Unfair Competition Act (including but not limited to the claims made in the Complaint); or (ii) any other statutory or common law claim, to the fullest extent that any of the foregoing described in (i) or (ii) were or could have been asserted by any person or entity against Liberty Glove or its parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, and all of their customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, or any other person in the course of doing business, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may use, maintain, distribute or sell the Covered Products ("Released Entities"), based on its or their exposure of persons to Covered Products or their failure to provide a clear and reasonable warning of exposure to such individuals, or (iii) as to alleged exposures to Covered Products, any other claim based in whole or in part on the facts alleged in the Complaint, whether based on actions committed by the Released Entities. As to alleged exposures to Covered Products, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves any issue, now and in the future, concerning compliance by Liberty Glove and the Released Entities, with the requirements of Proposition 65 and the Unfair Competition Act with respect to Covered Products, and any alleged resulting exposure.

As to alleged exposures to Covered Products, Plaintiff MEJF, by and on behalf of Plaintiff MEJF, and its respective agents, successors and assigns, waives any and all rights to institute any form of legal action, and release all claims against Liberty

Glove and the Released Entities, and all of their respective parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, and all of their customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, or any other person in the course of doing business, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may use, maintain, distribute or sell the Covered Products, whether under Proposition 65 or the Unfair Competition Act or otherwise, arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to, the Covered Products (referred to collectively in this paragraph as the "Claims"). In furtherance of the foregoing, as to alleged exposures to Covered Products, Plaintiff MEJF hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to the Claims by virtue of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Plaintiff MEJF understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542 is that even if Plaintiff MEJF suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to, the Covered Products, Plaintiff MEJF will not be able to make any claim for those damages against Liberty Glove or the Released Entities. Furthermore, Plaintiff MEJF acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims as may exist as of the date of this release but which Plaintiff MEJF does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect their decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

06:14pm

10-01-2003

## ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

2 3

4

5

6

7 8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

### 5.

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the 5.0 parties hereto. The parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of San Francisco County, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein. In any proceeding brought by either party to enforce this Consent Judgment, such party may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties or remedies as may be provided by law for any violation of Proposition 65 or this Consent Judgment. Additionally, if in such a proceeding the Court finds that Liberty Glove failed to comply with the reformulation requirements specified in Section 7 of this Consent Judgment, and notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Judgment, then as to Covered Products for which reformulation has not been accomplished pursuant to Section 7 of this Consent Judgment, and only as to such Covered Products, Liberty Glove shall not benefit from any release from liability specified in any provision of this Consent Judgment.

#### MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT 6.

6.0 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

## **INJUNCTIVE RELIEF - REFORMULATION**

- By December 1, 2003, the PVC used in the production of Covered Products imported or sold by Liberty Glove for sale into California shall meet the following criteria:
  - The PVC shall have no lead as an intentionally added constituent; (a)
- (b) A representative sample of the bulk PVC used to manufacture the Covered Products shall have been tested for lead, and must have shown lead content by weight of less than 0.003% (30 parts per million "30 ppm"), using a test method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantification (as distinguished from

From-NEUFELD JOCEE, LLP

10-01-2003 06:14pm

detection) of less than 30 ppm.

(c) Liberty Glove may comply with the above requirements by relying on information obtained from its suppliers of the Covered Products, and the PVC utilized in their manufacture, so long as such reliance is in good faith. Demonstration of good faith reliance may include, but is not limited to, e-mails or other written correspondence from suppliers attesting to compliance with the provisions of this Section 7.0.

- 7.1 By October 1, 2004, the PVC in all Covered Products distributed or sold by Liberty Glove for sale into California shall meet the following criteria:
  - (a) The PVC shall have no lead as an intentionally added constituent;
- (b) A representative sample of the bulk PVC used to manufacture the Covered Products shall have been tested for lead, and must have shown lead content by weight of less than 0.003% (30 parts per million "30 ppm"), using a test method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantification (as distinguished from detection) of less than 30 ppm.
- (c) Liberty Glove may comply with the above requirements by relying on information obtained from its suppliers of the Covered Products, and the PVC utilized in their manufacture, so long as such reliance is in good faith. Demonstration of good faith reliance may include, but is not limited to, e-mails or other written correspondence from suppliers attesting to compliance with the provisions of this Section 7.1.
- 7.2 In the event that MEJF settles another actual or potential claim concerning the alleged failure of a business to provide adequate Proposition 65 warnings concerning its manufacture, distribution or sale of PVC clothing in California, and agrees to a standard for reformulation that allows for lead content by weight of greater than 30 ppm in the PVC materials, Liberty Glove's compliance with the less stringent standard will be deemed to meet the requirements of Sections 7.0 (b) and 7.1(b) above. MEJF shall notify Liberty Glove of any and each such settlement by written notice pursuant to Section 14, within ten (10) days of execution of such settlement or consent judgment.

06:14pm

10-01-2003

#### 8. **AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE**

3

2

8.0 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.

5

#### 9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

6

9.0 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement the Consent Judgment.

7

### 10. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

9 10

10.0 KELC shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by both parties, on the California Attorney General on behalf of the parties so that the Attorney General

11

may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. No sooner than forty five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the

12 13

aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, and in the absence of any written

14

objection by the Attorney General to the terms of this Consent Judgment, the parties may

15

16

### 11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

then submit it to the Court for approval.

17 18

19

11.0 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitment and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to

21

22

20

herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties.

23

#### 12. GOVERNING LAW

24 25 12.0 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.

26 27

28

[00: 9264 To-NEUFELD JAFFE, LLP - 2011 Mass: 80 10-01-2008 Kacaivad 10-01-2008 Prom-NEUFELD JAFFE, LLP 218 588 4140 P.018/018 F-845 02:56bm COURT APPROVAL 13. 1 13.0 If this Consent Judgment, in its entirety, is not approved by the Court, it 2 shall be of no force or effect, and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose. 3 NOTICES 4 14. 14.0 Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall be by personal delivery of 5 First Class Mail. 6 William Verick If to MEJF: Klamath Environmental Law Center 8 424 First Street Eureka, CA 95501 9 Luisa Jaffe 10 If to Liberty Glove: Neufeld Jaffe, LLP 11 555 West 5th Street, 30th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90013 12 IT IS SO STIPULATED: 13 MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE 14 FOUNDATION 15 16 17 18 LIBERTY GLOVE, INC. DATED: 2003 19 20 21 ITS: SONIA HEH, CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER 22 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: 23 DATED: 24 25 PHARITY FOR MINNEY 26 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 27 28

JUDGMENT

State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting

Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612 PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT FILING - Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(e) and (f)

FORM JUS 1501 (03/01)

#### REPORT OF SETTLEMENT

Plea:	se print or type requ	uired information	Original Filing	Suppleme	ental Filing	Corrected Filing	1		
	PLAINTIFF(S)						,		
PARTIES TO THE ACTION		nvironmenta	l Justice	Founda	tion				
	DEFENDANT(C) IN	ON ED IN OCTUTA EN EN EN		<del></del>	<del>-</del>				
	l	OLVEDINSETTLEMENT							
	COURTDOCKETNUM	BER			RTNAME				
S G	416304			Sai	n Franc	cisco Supe	rior		
CASE	SHORT CASE NAME					4			
		Mateel v. Liberty Glove							
	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF								
ORT INF	Reformulation of PVC rain wear products to remove lead								
	PAYMENT: CIVIL PEN	ALTY PA	YMENT: ATTORNEYS	FEES	PAYMENT: OT	HER			
	none	s	16,000		\$16,50	0	Only		
	WILL SETTLEMENT BI SUBMITTED TO COUR Yes No	IFYES, AFTER	ENTRY OF JUDGMEN	NT BY GMENT	DATE SETTLE	MENT SIGNED	For Internal Use Only		
	COPY OF SETTLEMENT MUST BE ATTACHED								
FILER INFO	NAMEOFCONTACT Fredric Ex ORGANIZATION Law Office ADDRESS	venson					TELEPHONE NUMBER ((707)) 258-8900 FAX NUMBER		
	424 First	screet					((707)) 268-8901	-	
	ciry Eureka		STATE ZIP CA		E-MAILADDR ecoric	RESS ghts@earthl			
LING	INSTRUCTION	JS. This form can	1	<u>-</u> -					

FILING INSTRUCTIONS: This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the settlement to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.